

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,805	08/05/2003	Jean Rapin	10945.105003 (Neuro 102US	9760
20786	7590 12/20/2005		EXAM	NER
KING & SPALDING LLP			CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M	
191 PEACHT	REE STREET, N.E.			···
45TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30303-1763			1654	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,805	RAPIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcela M. Cordero Garcia	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	on. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-8 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) are subjected to b	er.	Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been received in the contract of	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	r atom Apphoation (F TO-192)	

Application/Control Number: 10/635,805

Art Unit: 1654

Ł

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 9, 2005 has been entered.

This Office Action is in response to the reply received on November 9, 2005.

Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are pending in the application.

Any rejection from the previous office action, which is not restated here, is withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are presented for examination on the merits.

For the art rejection below, please note that "neurodegenerative disease", as evidenced by Aizenman et al. (US 5,091,391. See e.g., column 3, lines 33-41) means "a physical condition which has caused or may cause degradation of portion of a subject's nervous system and shall expressly include, but not be limited to stroke, Alzheimer's disease [...]". Please also note that Wieloch et al. (US 6,846,641) teaches ischemic stroke as a neurodegenerative disease (See,

Application/Control Number: 10/635,805

Art Unit: 1654

ł

e.g., column 15, lines 65-67). Therefore, the disease "ischemic stroke" reads upon both "postlesional neuronal disease due to ischemia or traumatic impact" and "neurodegenerative disease".

Double Patenting

Claims 1-2, 5-8 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 5-10 and 16 of copending Application No. 10/635,797. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to overlapping subject matter, e.g., "a method for the treatment of a postlesional neuronal disease due to ischemia or tramautic impact" and "a method for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases", both comprising administering an effective amount of a compound of formula (I) to a human patient in need thereof. Please note that treating, e.g., "ischemic stroke" administering the instantly claimed composition of formula (I) reads upon both claimed inventions (see above). Please also note that the term "characterized by nerve cell necrosis" (as in the instantly claimed method) is a functional effect of, e.g., ischemic stroke. Therefore, the instantly claimed method encompasses and/or is encompassed by the claimed method of Application '797.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1654

ું હ

Conclusion

Claims 1-2, 5-8 are rejected.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcela M. Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marul M Cordero Garcia, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654

MMCG 12/05

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER